



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/000,209                      | 11/02/2001     | Hiroshi Hoshino      | 6737-01                | 9139             |
| 75                              | 590 02/02/2004 |                      | EXAM                   | INER             |
| McCormick, Paulding & Huber     |                |                      | BECKER, DREW E         |                  |
| City Place II 185 Asylum Street |                |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Hartford, CT                    |                |                      | 1761                   | •                |
|                                 |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/02/200 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | A. It is  | (1                        |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/000,209  | HOSHINO, HIROSHI          |  |  |  |  |  |
| omce Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAU INC DATE of this accommission  | Drew E Becker   | 1761                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address     |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se   | ptember 2003.   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | •                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s) |   |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary (F   | PTO-413) Paper No(s)      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5)   Notice of Informal Dat   | ent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/000,209

Art Unit: 1761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of making meat paste, classified in class
     426, subclass 244.
- II. Claims 4-5, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 99, subclass 358.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group II as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for instance heating beef. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Richard R. Michaud on January 14, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,209

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

(-23.09